

Evaluation Instrument

A. Purpose of Educator Evaluation

This contract language is locally negotiated and based on M.G.L. c.71, §38; M.G.L. c.150E; the Educator Evaluation regulations, 603 CMR 35.00 et seq.; and the Model System for Educator Evaluation developed and which may be updated from time to time by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. See 603 CMR 35.02 (definition of model system). In the event of a conflict between this collective bargaining agreement and the governing laws and regulations, the laws and regulations shall prevail.

The regulatory purposes of evaluation are:

1. To promote student learning, growth, and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement, enhanced opportunities for professional growth, and clear structures for accountability, 603 CMR 35.01(2)(a);
2. To provide a record of facts and assessments for personnel decisions, 35.01(2)(b);
3. To ensure that every school committee has a system to enhance the professionalism and accountability of teachers and administrators that will enable them to assist all students to perform at high levels, 35.01(3); and
4. To assure effective teaching and administrative leadership, 35.01(3).

B. Definitions (* indicates definition is generally based on 603 CMR 35.02)

***Artifacts of Professional Practice:** Products of an Educator's work and student work samples that demonstrate the Educator's knowledge and skills with respect to specific performance standards. (Educator maintains Collection of Evidence Form.)

Caseload Educator: Educators who teach or counsel individuals or small groups of students through consultation with the regular classroom teacher; for example, school nurses, guidance counselors, speech and language pathologists, and some reading specialists and special education teachers.

Classroom Teacher: Educators who teach preK-12 whole classes, and teachers of special subjects such as art, music, library, and physical education. May also include special education teachers and reading specialists who teach whole classes.

Categories of Evidence: Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement (See definition of Multiple Measures), judgments based on observations and artifacts of professional practice, including unannounced observations of practice of any duration; and additional evidence relevant to one or more Standards of Effective Teaching Practice (603 CMR 35.03). (Educator maintains Collection of Evidence Form.)

***District-determined Measures:** Measures of student learning, growth and achievement related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks, or other relevant frameworks, that are comparable across grade or subject level district-wide. These measures may include, but shall not be limited to, portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. The assessments used shall be agreed to by both parties.

***Educator(s):** Inclusive term that applies to all classroom teachers and caseload educators, unless otherwise noted.

***Educator Plan:** The growth or improvement actions identified as part of each Educator's evaluation. The type of plan is determined by the Educator's career stage, overall performance rating, and the rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement. (Educator maintains Educator Plan Form.) There shall be four types of Educator Plans:

1. **Developing Educator Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator for one school year or less for an Educator without Professional Teacher Status (PTS); or, at the discretion of an Evaluator, for an Educator with PTS in a new assignment.
2. **Self-Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator for one or two school years for Educators with PTS who are rated proficient or exemplary.
3. **Directed Growth Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Educator and the Evaluator of one school year or less for Educators with PTS who are rated needs improvement.
4. **Improvement Plan** shall mean a plan developed by the Evaluator of at least 30 calendar days and no more than one school year for Educators with PTS who are rated unsatisfactory with goals specific to improving the Educator's unsatisfactory performance. In those cases where an Educator is rated unsatisfactory near the close of a school year, *when mutually agreed upon*, the plan may include activities during the summer preceding the next school year.

***DESE:** The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.

***Evaluation:** The ongoing process of defining goals and identifying, gathering, and using information as part of a process to improve professional performance (the "formative evaluation" and "formative assessment") and to assess total job effectiveness and make personnel decisions (the "summative evaluation").

***Evaluator:** Any person designated by a superintendent who has primary or supervisory responsibility for observation and evaluation. The superintendent is responsible for ensuring that all Evaluators have training in the principles of supervision and evaluation. Each Educator will have one primary Evaluator at any one time responsible for determining performance ratings.

1. **Primary Evaluator** shall be the person who determines the Educator's performance ratings and evaluation. Primary Evaluators include principals, assistant principals, and director of special education.
2. **Supervising Evaluator** shall be the person responsible for developing the Educator Plan, supervising the Educator's progress through formative assessments, evaluating the Educator's progress toward attaining the Educator Plan goals, and making recommendations about the evaluation ratings to the primary Evaluator at the end of the Educator Plan. The Supervising Evaluator may be the Primary Evaluator or his/her designee.
3. **Teaching Staff Assigned to More Than One Building:** Each Educator who is assigned to more than one building will be evaluated by the appropriate administrator where the individual is assigned most of the time. The principal of each building in which the Educator serves must review and may sign the evaluation, and may add written comments. In cases where there is no predominate assignment, the superintendent will determine who the primary evaluator will be.
4. **Third Party Evaluator:** The superintendent, or special education director, and/or a non-bargaining unit member who may or may not be an employee of the Sutton Public Schools. The choice of the evaluator will be mutually agreeable to the Superintendent and the Association.
5. **Notification:** The Educator will be notified in writing of his/her primary Evaluator and supervising Evaluator, if any, at the outset of each new evaluation cycle. The Evaluator(s) may be changed upon notification in writing to the Educator with a five school day notice.

Evaluation Cycle: A five-component process that all Educators follow consisting of: (1) Self-Assessment; (2) Goal-setting and Educator Plan development; (3) Implementation of the Plan; (4) Formative Assessment/Evaluation; and (5) Summative Evaluation.

***Experienced Educator:** An educator with Professional Teacher Status (PTS).

***Family:** Includes students' parents, legal guardians, foster parents, and/ or primary caregivers.

***Formative Assessment:** The process used to assess progress (as identified in the definition of Categories of Evidence) towards attaining goals set forth in Educator plans, performance on standards, or both. This process may take place at any time(s) during the cycle of evaluation, but typically takes place at mid-cycle. (Evaluator uses Formative Assessment Report Form)

***Formative Evaluation:** An evaluation conducted at the end of Year 1 for an Educator on a 2-year Self-Directed Growth plan which is used to arrive at a rating on progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Standards and Indicators

of Effective Teaching Practice, or both. (Evaluator uses Formative Evaluation Report Form)

***Goal:** A specific, actionable, and measurable area of improvement as set forth in an Educator's plan. A goal may pertain to any or all of the following: Educator practice in relation to Performance Standards, Educator practice in relation to indicators, or specified improvement in student learning, growth and achievement. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the same role. (Evaluator uses Goal Setting Form)

Measurable: That which can be classified or estimated in relation to a scale, rubric, or standards.

Multiple Measures of Student Learning: Measures must include a combination of classroom, school and district assessments, student growth percentiles on state assessments, if state assessments are available, and student MEPA gain scores. This definition may be revised as required by regulations or agreement of the parties upon issuance of DESE guidance expected by July 2012.

***Observation:** A data gathering process that includes notes and judgments made during one or more classroom or worksite visit(s) of any duration by the Evaluator and may include examination of artifacts of practice including student work. An observation may occur in person or through video. In the case of video observations, these will be done openly and with knowledge of the Educator. All video shot in the course of evaluation shall only be used for the self-assessment phase of the evaluation process and after two (2) years the video becomes the property of the educator. The video shall not be used or viewed outside of the evaluation process by any party except the evaluator or educator. The parties agree to form a committee to research and make recommendations about using video observation beyond these parameters and bargain the protocols of video observations should either party wish to adopt such practice. Classroom or worksite observations conducted pursuant to this article must result in feedback to the Educator. Observations shall not be used to evaluate for Standard 1 or 2 in cases where the teacher has been observed in a class for which he/she is not appropriately licensed. Normal supervisory responsibilities of department, building and district administrators will also cause administrators to drop in on classes and other activities in the worksite at various times as deemed necessary by the administrator. Carrying out these supervisory responsibilities, when they do not result in targeted and constructive feedback to the Educator, are not observations as defined in this Article. (Evaluator uses Record of Evidence Form)

***Performance Rating:** Describes the Educator's performance on each performance standard and overall. There shall be four performance ratings:

1. **Exemplary:** The Educator's performance consistently and significantly exceeds the requirements of a standard or overall. The rating of exemplary on a standard indicates that practice significantly exceeds proficient and could serve as a model of practice on that standard district-wide.

2. **Proficient:** The Educator's performance fully and consistently meets the requirements of a standard or overall. Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory.
3. **Needs Improvement:** The Educator's performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall, but is not considered to be unsatisfactory at this time. Improvement is necessary and expected.
4. **Unsatisfactory:** The Educator's performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of needs improvement, or the Educator's performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.

***Performance Standards:** Locally developed standards and indicators pursuant to M.G.L. c.71, §38 and consistent with, and supplemental to 603 CMR 35.00. The parties may agree to limit standards and indicators to those set forth in 603 CMR 35.03.

***Professional Teacher Status:** PTS is the status granted to an Educator pursuant to M.G.L. c.71, §41.

Rating of Educator Impact on Student Learning: A rating of high, moderate or low based on trends and patterns on state assessments and district-determined measures. The parties will negotiate the process for using state and district-determined measures to arrive at an Educator's rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement, using guidance and model contract language from DESE, expected by July 2012.

Rating of Overall Educator Performance: The Educator's overall performance rating is based on the Evaluator's professional judgment formed by the examination of evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards and the Educator's attainment of goals set forth in the Educator Plan as follows:

- Standard 1: Curriculum, Planning and Assessment
- Standard 2: Teaching All Students
- Standard 3: Family and Community Engagement
- Standard 4: Professional Culture
- Attainment of Professional Practice Goal(s)
- Attainment of Student Learning Goal(s)

***Rubric:** A scoring tool that describes characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance. The rubrics for Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice are used to rate Educators on Performance Standards. Educators and Evaluators will use the Teacher Rubric and Specialized Instructional Support Personnel Rubric. These rubrics consist of:

- Standards: Describes broad categories of professional practice, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03

Indicators: Describes aspects of each standard, including those required in 603 CMR 35.03

Elements: Defines the individual components under each indicator

Descriptors: Describes practice at four levels of performance for each element

***Summative Evaluation:** An evaluation used to arrive at a rating on each standard, an overall rating, and as a basis to make personnel decisions. The summative evaluation includes the Evaluator’s judgments of the Educator’s performance against Performance Standards and the Educator’s attainment of goals set forth in the Educator’s Plan. (Evaluator uses Summative Evaluation Form.) In the case of a staff member being placed on a Directed Growth Plan, the summative evaluation must be supported by, at least, three different forms of evidence.

***Superintendent:** The person employed by the Committee pursuant to M.G.L. c.71 §59 and §59A. The superintendent is responsible for the implementation of 603 CMR 35.00.

***Teacher:** An Educator employed in a position requiring a certificate or license as described in 603 CMR 7.04(3) (a, b, and d) and in the area of vocational education as provided in 603 CMR 4.00. Teachers may include, for example, classroom teachers, librarians, guidance counselors, or school nurses.

***Trends in student learning:** At least two years of data from the district-determined measures and state assessments used in determining the Educator’s rating on impact on student learning as high, moderate or low. (See definition for “Multiple Measures of Student Learning” and “Rating of Educator Impact on Student Learning”.)

C. Evidence Used In Evaluation

The following categories of evidence shall be used in evaluating each Educator:

1. Multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, which shall include:
 - (a) Measures of student progress on classroom assessments that are aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or other relevant frameworks and are comparable within grades or subjects in a school;
 - (b) At least three years of data from the district-determined measures and state assessments used in determining the Educator’s rating on impact on student learning as high, moderate or low. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. The parties agree to reopen the

Agreement on this item to address changes relative to the guidance and model contract language from DESE.

- (c) At least two district-determined measures of student learning related to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks or the Massachusetts Vocational Technical Education Frameworks or other relevant frameworks that are comparable across grades and/or subjects district-wide. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. *One such measure shall be the MCAS Student Growth Percentile (SGP) or Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment gain scores, if applicable, in which case at least two years of data is required.
 - (d) Measures of student progress and/or achievement toward student learning goals set between the Educator and Evaluator for the school year or some other specific period of time as established in the Educator Plan.
 - (e) For Educators whose primary role is not as a classroom teacher, the appropriate measures of the Educator's contribution to student learning, growth, and achievement is set by the district. The measures set by the district should be based on the Educator's role and responsibility.
2. Judgments based on observations and artifacts of practice including:
- (a) Unannounced observations (five minutes or more).
 - (b) Announced observation(s) for non-PTS Educators in their first year of practice in a school, Educators on Improvement Plans, and as determined by the Evaluator.
 - (c) Examination of Educator work products.
 - (d) Examination of student work samples Evidence relevant to one or more Performance Standards, including but not limited to:
 - (i) Evidence compiled and presented by the Educator, including:
 - Evidence of fulfillment of professional responsibilities and growth such as self-assessments, peer collaboration, professional development linked to goals in the Educator plans, contributions to the school community and professional culture;

- Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families such as phone logs, parent newsletters, parent conferences, websites/moodle and email correspondence.
- (ii) Evidence of progress towards professional practice goal(s);
- (iii) Evidence of progress toward student learning outcomes goal(s).
- (iv) Student and Staff Feedback – (See Sections X and Y); and
- (v) Any other relevant evidence from any source that the Primary Evaluator shares with the Educator. Other relevant evidence could include information provided by other evaluators/administrators such as the superintendent. Relevant information from other sources will be assessed by the evaluator and information will be shared with the Educator.

D. Rubric

The rubrics are a scoring tool used for the Educator’s self-assessment, the formative assessment, the formative evaluation and the summative evaluation. The districts may use either the rubrics provided by DESE or comparably rigorous and comprehensive rubrics developed or adopted by the district and reviewed by DESE.

E. Evaluation Cycle: Training

1. Prior to the implementation of the new evaluation process contained in this article, districts shall arrange an information session(s) for all Educators, principals, and other evaluators that outlines the components of the new evaluation process and provides an explanation of the evaluation cycle. The district, through the superintendent, shall determine the type and quality of training based on guidance provided by DESE.
2. By November 1 of the first year of this Agreement, all Educators shall complete a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal setting satisfactory to the superintendent or principal. Any Educator hired after the November 1 date, and who has not previously completed such an activity, shall complete such a professional learning activity about self-assessment and goal setting within three months of the date of hire. The district, through the superintendent, shall determine the type and quality of the learning activity based on guidance provided by DESE.

F. Evaluation Cycle: Annual Orientation

At the start of each school year, the superintendent, principal or designee shall conduct a meeting for Educators and Evaluators focused substantially on educator evaluation. The superintendent, principal or designee shall:

1. Provide an overview of the evaluation process, including goal setting and the educator plans.
2. Provide all Educators with directions for obtaining a copy of the forms used by the district. These may be electronically provided.
3. The faculty meeting may be digitally recorded to facilitate orientation of Educators hired after the beginning of the school year.

G. Evaluation Cycle: Self-Assessment

1. Completing the Self-Assessment (Self-Assessment Form Part 1 and 2)
 - (a) The evaluation cycle begins with the Educator completing and submitting to the Primary or Supervising Evaluator a self-assessment by October 1 or within four weeks of the start of their employment at the school.
 - (b) The self-assessment includes:
 - (i) An analysis of evidence of student learning, growth and achievement for students under the Educator's responsibility.
 - (ii) An assessment of practice against each of the four Performance Standards of effective practice using the district's rubric.
 - (iii) Proposed goals to pursue:
 - At least one goal directly related to improving the Educator's own professional practice.
 - At least one goal directly related to improving student learning.
2. Proposing the Goals
 - (a) For Educators with PTS and ratings of proficient or exemplary, the goals may be team goals. In addition, these Educators may include individual professional practice goals that address enhancing skills that enable the Educator to share proficient practices with colleagues or develop leadership skills.
 - (b) Educators must consider goals for grade-level, subject-area, department teams, or other groups of Educators who share responsibility for student learning and results, except as provided in(c) below. Educators may meet with teams to consider

establishing team goals. Evaluators may participate in such meetings.

- (c) For Educators in each of their first three years of practice, the Evaluator or his/her designee will meet with each Educator by October 1 (or within four weeks of the Educator's first day of employment if the Educator begins employment after September 15) to assist the Educator in completing the self-assessment and drafting the professional practice and student learning goals, which must include induction and mentoring activities.
- (d) Unless the Evaluator indicates that an Educator in his/her second or third years of practice should continue to address induction and mentoring goals pursuant to 603 CMR 7.12, the Educator may address shared grade level or subject area team goals.
- (e) For Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory, the professional practice goal(s) must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject area team goals. Goals are written by the Evaluator with input from the Educator for inclusion in the Directed Growth Plan.

H. Evaluation Cycle: Goal Setting and Development of the Educator Plan

1. Every Educator has an Educator Plan that includes, but is not limited to, one goal related to the improvement of practice; and one goal for the improvement of student learning. The Plan also outlines actions the Educator must take to attain the goals established in the Plan and benchmarks to assess progress. Goals may be developed by individual Educators, by the Evaluator, or by teams, departments, or groups of Educators who have the similar roles and/or responsibilities. See Sections O-S for more on Educator Plans.
2. To determine the goals to be included in the Educator Plan, the Evaluator reviews the goals the Educator has proposed in the Self-Assessment, using evidence of Educator performance and impact on student learning, growth and achievement based on the Educator's self-assessment and other sources that Evaluator shares with the Educator. The process for determining the Educator's impact on student learning, growth and achievement will be determined after DESE issues guidance on this matter. (See Section W.)
3. Educator Plan Development Meetings shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) Educators in the same school may meet with the Evaluator in teams and/or individually at the end of the previous evaluation cycle or by October 15 of the next academic year to develop their Educator

Plan. Educators shall not be expected to meet during the summer hiatus.

- (b) For those Educators new to the school, the meeting with the Evaluator to establish the Educator Plan must occur by October 15 or within six weeks of the start of their assignment in that school.
 - (c) The Evaluator shall meet individually with Educators with PTS and ratings of needs improvement or unsatisfactory to develop professional practice goal(s) that must address specific standards and indicators identified for improvement. In addition, the goals may address shared grade level or subject matter goals.
4. The Evaluator completes the Educator Plan by November 1. The Educator Plan shall be developed and approved by November 1. Development and approval of the four types of plans will be in accordance with the description of those plans. (See definitions in Article V, Section B and Sections P through T.) The Educator shall sign the Educator Plan within 5 school days of its receipt and may include a written response. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents. The Evaluator retains final authority over the content of the Educator's Plan.

I. Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators without PTS

- 1. In the first year of practice or first year assigned to a school:
 - (a) The Educator shall have, at least, one announced observation during the school year using the protocol described in Section K2, below.
 - (b) The Educator shall have, at least, four unannounced observations during the school year.
 - (c) Evidence gathered during these observations will be used to complete the Educator's Record of Evidence Form.
- 2. In their second and third years of practice or second and third years as a non-PTS Educator in the school:
 - (a) The Educator shall have, at least, one announced observation during the school year using the protocol described in Section K2, below.
 - (b) The Educator shall have, at least, three unannounced observations during the school year.

- (c) Evidence gathered during these observations will be used to complete the Educator's Record of Evidence Form.

J. Evaluation Cycle: Observation of Practice and Examination of Artifacts – Educators with PTS

1. The Educator whose overall rating is proficient or exemplary must have, at least, one unannounced observation a year during the evaluation cycle. An Educator may request an optional announced observation.
2. The Educator whose overall rating is needs improvement must be observed according to the Directed Growth Plan during the period of Plan, which must include at least two unannounced observations.
3. The Educator whose overall rating is unsatisfactory must be observed according to the Improvement Plan, which must include both unannounced and announced observation. The number and frequency of the observations shall be determined by the Evaluator, but in no case, for improvement plans of one year shall there be fewer than one announced and four unannounced observations. For Improvement Plans of six months or fewer, there must be no fewer than one announced and two unannounced observations.
4. Evidence gathered during these observations will be used to complete the Educator's Record of Evidence Form.

K. Observations

1. The Evaluator's first observation of the Educator should take place by November 15 for Educators with a one-year plan and December 15 for Educators with two-year plans. Observations required by the Educator Plan should be completed by May 15 for all NPTS teachers and by May 15 for PTS Educators. The Evaluator may conduct additional observations after this date.
2. The Evaluator is not required nor expected to review all the indicators in a rubric during an observation. Evidence from a variety of sources (e.g., observations, artifacts, evidence provided by teachers) will be gathered on each indicator, and then used to assign a final rating for each standard.
3. Unannounced Observations
 - (a) Unannounced observations may be in the form of partial or full-period classroom visitations, Instructional Rounds, Walk-throughs, Learning Walks, or any other means deemed useful by the Educator, Evaluator, principal, superintendent or other administrator. Walk-throughs shall require the evaluator to enter the classroom and be there for a duration of, at least, five (5) minutes. Walk-throughs will count as 1 observation for every 30 minutes of observation.

- (b) The Educator will be provided with, at least, brief written feedback from the Evaluator within 3-5 school days of the observation. The written feedback shall be delivered to the Educator in person, by password protected email, placed in the Educator's mailbox or mailed to the Educator's home.
- (c) Any observation or series of observations resulting in one or more standards judged to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement for the first time must be followed by, at least, one observation of, at least, 30 minutes in duration within 30 school days.

4. Announced Observations

All non-PTS Educators in their first three years in the school, PTS Educators on Improvement Plans and other educators at the discretion of the evaluator shall have, at least, one Announced Observation.

- (a) The Evaluator and Educator shall select a mutually agreed upon date and time of the lesson or activity to be observed and discuss with the Educator any specific goal(s) for the observation.
- (b) Within 5 school days of the scheduled observation, upon request of either the Evaluator or Educator, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a pre-observation conference. In lieu of a meeting, the Educator may inform the Evaluator in writing of the nature of the lesson, the student population served, and any other information that will assist the Evaluator to assess performance.
 - 1st. The Educator shall provide the Evaluator a draft of the lesson, student conference, IEP plan or activity. If the actual plan is different, the Educator will provide the Evaluator with a copy prior to the observation.
 - 2nd. The Educator will be notified as soon as possible if the Evaluator will not be able to attend the scheduled observation. The observation will be rescheduled with the Educator as soon as reasonably practical.
- (c) Within 5 school days of the observation, the Evaluator and Educator shall meet for a post-observation conference. This timeframe may be extended due to unavailability on the part of either the Evaluator or the Educator, but shall be rescheduled within 24 hours if possible.
- (d) The Evaluator shall provide the Educator with written feedback within 5 school days of the post-observation conference. For any

standard where the Educator's practice was found to be unsatisfactory or needs improvement, the feedback must:

1st. Describe the basis for the Evaluator's judgment.

2nd. Describe actions the Educator should take to improve his/her performance.

3rd. Identify support and/or resources the Educator may use in his/her improvement.

4th. State that the Educator is responsible for addressing the need for improvement.

L. Evaluation Cycle: Formative Assessment (for One-Year Self-Directed Plans Only)

1. A specific purpose for evaluation is to promote student learning, growth and achievement by providing Educators with feedback for improvement and reflection. Evaluators are expected to make frequent unannounced visits to classrooms. Evaluators are expected to give targeted constructive feedback to Educators based on their observations of practice, examination of artifacts, and analysis of multiple measures of student learning, growth and achievement in relation to the Standards and Indicators of Effective Teaching Practice.
2. Formative Assessment may be ongoing throughout the evaluation cycle, but typically takes place mid-cycle when a Formative Assessment report is completed. (For an Educator on a two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan, the mid-cycle Formative Assessment report is replaced by the Formative Evaluation report at the end of year one. See Section M, below.)
3. The Formative Assessment report provides written feedback and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on Performance Standards and overall, or both.
4. No less than 10 school days before the due date for the Formative Assessment report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Educator may provide to the Evaluator additional evidence of the Educator's performances against the four Performance Standards.
5. Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Assessment Report.

6. The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Assessment report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Assessment reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by password-protected email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home.
7. The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
8. The Educator shall sign the Formative Assessment report within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Assessment report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
9. As a result of the Formative Assessment Report, the Evaluator, in consultation with the Educator, may change the goals/activities in the Educator Plan. Note: An activity is anything done inside or outside the classroom that supports or measures a SMART goal.
10. With the exception of the first year of implementation, if the rating in the Formative Assessment report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.

M. Evaluation Cycle: Formative Evaluation (for Two-Year Self-Directed Plans Only)

1. Educators on two year Self-Directed Growth Educator Plans receive a Formative Evaluation report near the end of the first year of the two-year cycle. The Educator's performance rating for that year shall be assumed to be the same as the previous summative rating unless evidence demonstrates a significant change in performance in which case the rating on the performance standards may change and the Evaluator, in consultation with the Educator, may place the Educator on a different Educator plan appropriate to the new rating.
2. The Formative Evaluation report provides written feedback for improvement and reflection and ratings to the Educator about his/her progress towards attaining the goals set forth in the Educator Plan, performance on each performance standard and overall, or both.
3. No less than two weeks (10 school days) before the due date for the Formative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator shall provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement*, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Educator may also provide to the Evaluator additional evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards. *Evidence of active outreach to and engagement with families such as phone logs, parent newsletters, parent conferences, websites/moodles and email correspondence.

4. The Evaluator shall complete the Formative Evaluation report and provide a copy to the Educator. All Formative Evaluation reports must be signed by the Evaluator and delivered face-to-face, by password-protected email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home.
5. Upon the request of either the Evaluator or the Educator, the Evaluator and the Educator will meet either before or after completion of the Formative Evaluation Report.
6. The Educator may reply in writing to the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report.
7. The Educator shall sign the Formative Evaluation report within 5 school days of receiving the report. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Formative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
8. As a result of the Formative Evaluation report, the Evaluator, in consultation with the Educator, may change the goals/activities in the Educator Plan. Note: An activity is anything done inside or outside the classroom that supports or measures a SMART goal.
9. With the exception of the first year of implementation, if the rating in the Formative Evaluation report differs from the last summative rating the Educator received, the Evaluator may place the Educator on a different Educator Plan, appropriate to the new rating.

N. Evaluation Cycle: Summative Evaluation

1. The evaluation cycle concludes with a summative evaluation report. For Educators on a one-year or two-year Educator Plan, the summative report must be written and provided to the NPTS Educators by May 15 and the PTS Educators by June 1.
2. The Evaluator determines a rating on each standard and an overall rating based on the Evaluator's professional judgment, an examination of evidence, provided by both the Educator and the Evaluator, against the Performance Standards and evidence of the attainment of the Educator Plan goals. The professional judgment of the primary evaluator shall determine the overall summative rating that the Educator receives. (Combined B and C)
3. For an educator whose overall performance rating is exemplary or proficient and whose impact on student learning is low, the evaluator's supervisor shall discuss and review the rating with the evaluator and the supervisor shall confirm or revise the educator's rating. In cases where the superintendent serves as the primary evaluator, the superintendent's decision on the rating shall not be subject to review.

4. The summative evaluation rating must be based on evidence from multiple categories of evidence. MCAS Growth scores District/state determined measures of student growth shall not be the sole basis for a summative evaluation rating.*

*At least three years of data from the district-determined measures and state assessments used in determining the Educator's rating on impact on student learning as high, moderate or low. These measures may include: portfolios, approved commercial assessments and district-developed pre and post unit and course assessments, and capstone projects. (The parties will negotiate the process for using state and district determined measures to arrive at an Educator's rating of impact on student learning, growth and achievement, using guidance and model contract language from DESE, expected by July 2012.) Also, see Section W.

5. To be rated proficient overall, the Educator shall, at a minimum, have been rated proficient on the Curriculum, Planning and Assessment and the Teaching All Students Standards of Effective Teaching Practice.
6. No less than four weeks before the due date for the Summative Evaluation report, which due date shall be established by the Evaluator with written notice provided to the Educator, the Educator will provide to the Evaluator evidence of family outreach and engagement, fulfillment of professional responsibility and growth, and progress on attaining professional practice and student learning goals. The Educator may also provide to the Evaluator additional evidence of the Educator's performance against the four Performance Standards.
7. To be rated proficient overall, the Educator shall, be rated proficient on all four standards to obtain an overall rating of proficient. To receive an overall rating of proficient, an Educator must have a rating of proficient on all 16 indicators. An Educator may have no more than one needs improvement on any element in any one standard. Any areas of needs improvement will become a specific goal in the next educator plan.
8. The Summative Evaluation report should recognize areas of strength as well as identify recommendations for professional growth.
9. The Evaluator shall deliver a signed copy of the Summative Evaluation report to the Educator face-to-face, by password-protected email or to the Educator's school mailbox or home no later than June 1 for NPTS Educators and June 1 for PTS Educators.
10. The Evaluator shall meet with the all NPTS Educators rated needs improvement or unsatisfactory to discuss the summative evaluation. The meeting shall occur by June 1.
11. The Evaluator may meet with the all PTS Educators rated proficient or exemplary to discuss the summative evaluation, if either the Educator or the Evaluator requests

such a meeting. The meeting shall occur by June 10. Teachers on Directed Growth Plans or Improvement Plans will follow the timelines in their plans.

12. Upon mutual agreement, the Educator and the Evaluator may develop the Self-Directed Growth Plan for the following two years during the meeting on the Summative Evaluation report.
13. The Educator shall sign the final Summative Evaluation report by June 15 within five school days of receipt. The signature indicates that the Educator received the Summative Evaluation report in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
14. The Educator shall have the right to respond in writing within five school days of receipt to the summative evaluation, which shall become part of the final Summative Evaluation report.
15. A copy of the signed final Summative Evaluation report shall be filed in the Educator's personnel file.

O. Department Head/Team Leader Roles*

1. Where applicable, the Educator and Department Head/Team Leader will collaborate closely during all phases of the five-step cycle.
2. Where applicable, the Educator and Department Head/Team Leader will meet regularly and keep a log of their meetings. These meetings will focus on:
 - (a) developing, refining, and monitoring goals and the educator plan before it is submitted to the primary evaluator, and
 - (b) assisting the Educator in compiling evidence related to Standards I - IV.
3. Where applicable, the Department Head/Team Leader and the Educator may participate in reciprocal observations focused on helping the Educator/team/department attain their professional practice goals.
4. Where applicable, the Department Head/Team Leader will meet with the Evaluator some time before December 15 to discuss the teacher's progress and some time before March 31 to review each meeting log. The Department Head/Team Leader may provide information towards the Educator's Performance Standards.

P. Educator Plans: General

1. Educator Plans shall be designed to provide Educators with feedback for improvement and reflection, professional growth, and leadership; and to ensure

Educator effectiveness and overall system accountability. The Plan must be aligned to the standards and indicators and be consistent with district and school goals.

2. The Educator Plan shall include, but is not limited to:
 - (a) At least one goal related to improvement of practice tied to one or more Performance Standards;
 - (b) At least one goal for the improvement of the learning, growth and achievement of the students under the Educator's responsibility;
 - (c) An outline of actions the Educator must take to attain the goals and benchmarks to assess progress. Actions must include specified professional development and learning activities that the Educator will participate in as a means of obtaining the goals, as well as other support that may be suggested by the Evaluator or provided by the school or district. Examples may include, but are not limited to, coursework, self-study, action research, curriculum development, study groups with peers, and implementing new programs.
3. It is the Educator's responsibility to attain the goals in the Plan and to participate in any trainings and professional development provided through the state, district, or other providers in accordance with the Educator Plan.

Q. Educator Plans: Developing Educator Plan

1. The Developing Educator Plan is for all Educators without PTS and, at the discretion of the Evaluator, Educators with PTS in new assignments.
2. The Educator shall be evaluated at least annually.

R. Educator Plans: Self-Directed Growth Plan

1. A Two-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is moderate or high. A formative evaluation report is completed by June 1 at the end of year 1 and a summative evaluation report by June 1 at the end of year 2.
2. A One-year Self-Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS who have an overall rating of proficient or exemplary, and after 2013-2014 whose impact on student learning is low. In this case, the Evaluator and Educator shall analyze the discrepancy between the summative evaluation rating and the rating for impact on student learning to seek to determine the cause(s) of the discrepancy. This data will be used to inform the Educator's goals in the next evaluation cycle. A formative evaluation report is completed by February 1 and a summative evaluation report by June 1.

S. Educator Plans: Directed Growth Plan

1. A Directed Growth Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is needs improvement.
2. The goals in the Plan must address areas identified as needing improvement as determined by the Evaluator.
3. The Evaluator shall complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Plan, but at least annually, and in no case later than June 10.
4. For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is at least proficient, the Evaluator will place the Educator on a Self-Directed Growth Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.
5. For an Educator on a Directed Growth Plan whose overall performance rating is not at least proficient, the Evaluator will rate the Educator as unsatisfactory and will place the Educator on an Improvement Plan for the next Evaluation Cycle.

T. Educator Plans: Improvement Plan

1. An Improvement Plan is for those Educators with PTS whose overall rating is unsatisfactory.
2. The parties agree that in order to provide students with the best instruction, it may be necessary from time to time to place an Educator whose practice has been rated as unsatisfactory on an Improvement Plan of no fewer than 30 calendar days and no more than one school year. In the case of an Educator receiving a rating of unsatisfactory near the close of one school year, the Improvement Plan may include activities that occur during the summer before the next school year begins.
3. The Evaluator must complete a summative evaluation for the Educator at the end of the period determined by the Evaluator for the Plan.
4. An Educator on an Improvement Plan shall be assigned a Supervising Evaluator. The Supervising Evaluator is responsible for providing the Educator with guidance and assistance in accessing the resources and professional development outlined in the Improvement Plan. The primary evaluator may be the Supervising Evaluator. (See definitions in Article 5, Section B.)
5. The Improvement Plan shall define the problem(s) of practice identified through the observations and evaluation and detail the improvement goals to be met, the activities the Educator must take to improve and the assistance to be provided to the Educator by the district.

6. The Improvement Plan process shall include:
 - (a) Within 10 school days of notification to the Educator that the Educator is being placed on an Improvement Plan, the Evaluator shall schedule a meeting with the Educator to discuss the Improvement Plan. The Evaluator will develop the Improvement Plan, which will include the provision of specific assistance to the Educator.
 - (b) The Educator may request that a representative of the Employee Organization/Association attend the meeting(s).
 - (c) If the Educator consents, the Employee Organization/Association will be informed that an Educator has been placed on an Improvement Plan.
7. The Improvement Plan shall:
 - (a) Define the improvement goals directly related to the performance standard(s) and/or student learning outcomes that must be improved;
 - (b) Describe the activities and work products the Educator must complete as a means of improving performance;
 - (c) Describe the assistance that the district will make available to the Educator;
 - (d) Articulate the measurable outcomes that will be accepted as evidence of improvement;
 - (e) Detail the timeline for completion of each component of the Plan, including at a minimum a mid-cycle formative assessment report of the relevant standard(s) and indicator(s);
 - (f) Identify the individuals assigned to assist the Educator which must include minimally the Supervising Evaluator; and,
 - (g) Include the signatures of the Educator and Supervising Evaluator.
8. A copy of the signed Plan shall be provided to the Educator. The Educator's signature indicates that the Educator received the Improvement Plan in a timely fashion. The signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement with its contents.
9. Decision on the Educator's status at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan. All determinations below must be made no later than June 1. One of three decisions must be made at the conclusion of the Improvement Plan:

- (a) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator has improved his/her practice to the level of proficiency, the Educator will be placed on a Self- Directed Growth Plan.
- (b) In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her Summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall place the Educator on a Directed Growth Plan.
- (c) In those cases where the Educator was placed on an Improvement Plan as a result of his/her Summative rating at the end of his/her Directed Growth Plan, if the Evaluator determines that the Educator is not making substantial progress toward proficiency, the Evaluator shall recommend to the Superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.
- (d) If the Evaluator determines that the Educator’s practice remains at the level of unsatisfactory, the Evaluator shall recommend to the Superintendent that the Educator be dismissed.

U. Timelines

Activity	Forms Maintained by the Educator	Forms Maintained by the Evaluator	Completed by:
Superintendent, principal or designee meets with evaluators and educators to explain evaluation process.	Tracking sheet for Entire cycle		October 1
Evaluators meet with 1 st year educators to assist in self-assessment and goal setting process. Educator submits self-assessment and proposed goals.	Self-Assessment, Goal Setting		October 1
Evaluator meets with Educators in teams or individually to establish Educator Plans (Educator Plan may be established at Summative Evaluation Report meeting in the prior school year)	Plan		October 15
Evaluator completes Educator Plans	Plan		November 1
Evaluator should complete first observation of each Educator with one year plan.		Record of Evidence	November 15
Evaluator should complete first observation of each Educator with a two-year plan.		Record of Evidence	December 15

Activity	Forms Maintained by the Educator	Forms Maintained by the Evaluator	Completed by:
Educator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) * or four weeks prior to Formative Assessment Report date established by Evaluator	Collection of Evidence		January 5*
Evaluator should complete mid-cycle Formative Assessment Reports for Educators on one-year Educator Plans		Formative Report	February 1
Evaluator holds Formative Assessment Meetings if requested by either Evaluator or Educator		Formative Assessment	February 15
Educator submits evidence on parent outreach, professional growth, progress on goals (and other standards, if desired) *or 4 weeks prior to Summative Evaluation Report date established by evaluator.		Collection of Evidence	April 20*
Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report -NPTS		Summative Report	May 15
Evaluator completes Summative Evaluation Report -PTS		Summative Report	June 1
Evaluator meets with all NPTS Educators to discuss Summative Evaluation.			June 1
Evaluator meets with all PTS Educators to discuss Summative Evaluation			June 10
Educator signs Summative Evaluation Report and adds response, if any within 5 school days of receipt Response (if any)			June 15

V. Career Advancement

1. In order to attain Professional Teacher Status, the Educator should achieve ratings of proficient or exemplary on each Performance Standard and overall. A principal considering making an employment decision that would lead to PTS for any Educator who has not been rated proficient or exemplary on each performance standard and overall on the most recent evaluation shall confer with the superintendent by May 1. The principal's decision is subject to review and approval by the superintendent.
2. In order to qualify to apply for a teacher leadership position, the Educator must have had a Summative Evaluation performance rating of proficient or exemplary for at least the previous two years.

3. As noted in 603 CMR 3508(7), Educators with PTS whose summative performance rating is exemplary and, after 2013-14 whose impact on student learning is rated moderate or high, shall be recognized and rewarded, as determined by the district through collective bargaining, with leadership roles, promotions, additional compensation, public commendation or other acknowledgement as determined by the district through collective bargaining where applicable.

W. Rating Impact on Student Learning Growth

DESE will provide model contract language and guidance on rating educator impact on student learning growth based on state and district-determined measures of student learning by July 15, 2012. Upon receiving this model contract language and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

X. Using Student Feedback in Educator Evaluation

DESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using student feedback in Educator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

Y. Using Staff feedback in Educator Evaluation

DESE will provide model contract language, direction and guidance on using staff feedback in Administrator Evaluation by June 30, 2013. Upon receiving this model contract language, direction and guidance, the parties agree to bargain with respect to this matter.

Z. Transition from Existing Evaluation System

1. The parties may agree that 50% or more of Educators in the district will be evaluated under the new procedures at the outset of this Agreement, and 50% or fewer will work on goals to be evaluated under the former evaluation procedures in preparation for the first year of implementation of the new procedures in this Agreement.
2. The parties shall agree on a process for identifying the Educator Plan that each Educator will be placed on during the Educator's first year being evaluated under the new procedures, providing that Educators who have received ratings of "unsatisfactory" or its equivalent "approaching" or "needs to improve" in the current system in the prior year will be placed on a One-Year Self-Directed Growth or an Improvement Plan of up to one year at the sole discretion of the Superintendent.
3. The parties agree that, to address the workload issue of Evaluators during the first evaluation cycle under this Agreement in every school or department, the names of the Educators who are being placed on Self-Directed Growth Plans shall be literally or figuratively "put into a hat". The first fifty (50%) percent drawn shall be on a One-Year Self-Directed Growth Plan and the second fifty (50%) percent shall be on a two-year plan.

4. All pre-professional status teachers will be evaluated in the first year of implementation.

To facilitate common goal development, teachers with professional teacher status will be selected to participate in the evaluation cycle by their grade level/department. The departments and grade levels of the teachers represented on the committee may participate in the first year implementation. This will allow committee members to monitor the new evaluation system and provide feedback to the joint labor-management evaluation team, which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually through the first three years of implementation and recommend adjustments to the parties. The others will be selected for the first year based on the size of the department/grade level in an effort to ensure that the evaluators have a balanced load between year one and year two as we transition to the new system. Levels may need to be split to create an equitable load for the evaluators.

5. The existing evaluation system will remain in effect until the provisions set forth in this Article are implemented. The relevant timeframe for adopting and implementing new systems is set forth in 603 CMR 35.11(1).

AA. General Provisions

1. Only Educators who are licensed may serve as primary evaluators of Educators.
2. Evaluators shall not make negative comments about the Educator's performance or comments of a negative evaluative nature in the presence of students, parents or other staff, except in the unusual circumstance where student safety and welfare are at risk and where the Evaluator concludes that s/he must immediately and directly intervene. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit an administrator's ability to investigate a complaint, or secure assistance to support an Educator.
3. Individual Educator ratings are part of the personnel record and, therefore, confidential to the extent permitted by law.
4. The superintendent shall ensure that all Evaluators have training in supervision and evaluation, annually as needed, including the regulations and standards and indicators of effective teaching practice promulgated by DESE (35.03), and the evaluation Standards and Procedures established in this Agreement.
5. Should there be a serious disagreement between the Educator and the Evaluator regarding an overall summative performance rating of unsatisfactory, the Educator may meet with the Evaluator's supervisor to discuss the disagreement. Should the Educator request such a meeting, the Evaluator's supervisor must meet with the Educator. The Evaluator may attend any such meeting at the discretion of the superintendent.

6. The parties agree to establish a joint labor-management evaluation team, which shall review the evaluation processes and procedures annually through the first three years of implementation and recommend adjustments to the parties.
7. No derogatory material will be placed in a teacher's personnel file unless the teacher has had an opportunity to review such material and has had an opportunity to sign the copy to be filed. The teacher's signature does not indicate agreement with or acceptance of the material, but merely acknowledges the teacher has seen it. If the teacher refuses to sign the material, the material will be placed in the file with the signature of a witness who observed that the teacher had an opportunity to review the material. The teacher will have five (5) school days from the date of signature to submit a written response to the material.
8. Teachers will have the right, upon request, to review and make copies (at their own expense) of the contents of their personnel files (with the exception of pre-employment references). The teacher may be accompanied by an Association representative during any such review.
9. The School Committee, the Superintendent and the Association agree that concerns expressed by parents, staff and students which involve teachers are best resolved at the lowest level possible. Complaints of substance regarding a teacher made to any member of the administration will promptly be brought to the teacher's attention. In the event that any adverse action is contemplated as a result of the complaint, the teacher will be given the opportunity to be heard prior to the complaint being acted upon.
10. An Evaluation Review Committee consisting of 12 members (one teacher from each school appointed by the Association President; 3 principals and 3 administrators appointed by the Superintendent and co-chaired by the Association and administration appointee) will meet, at least, once a year to review and make recommendations for revising our procedures to the Association and Committee. Both bodies must approve any changes in the procedures.
11. Parties agree to reopen Article 5 of this Agreement to address any changes necessary or desirable as a result of new evaluation regulations from the DESE.
12. Violations of this article are subject to grievance and arbitration procedures.